

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,810	02/08/2005	Yoshio Abe	265615US3PCT	1565
22850	7590 10/30/2006		EXAMINER .	
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			DO, ROBERT C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		2851	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/523,810	ABE, YOSHIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Robert C. Do	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Fe	ehruany 2005					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 February 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Lx parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 403 C.C. 210.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 February 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·	.•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/14/06,4/29/05,2/8/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
1 apor 110(0) 1110 Date 11111100 11120 100 120 100 100 100 100						

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 4 and 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 states that the "light absorbing section are constituted only by slant surfaces". Examiner believes that the claim is missing a point of reference for the sections to by slant from, therefore indefinite.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2851

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sun (US 6,816,307).

Sun teaches the following claimed elements:

Re. Claim 1, a lenticular lens sheet comprising:

- A plurality of lenticular lenses (Fig. 3, Cylindrical lenses (5) at the bottom of the figure) disposed on one surface of a translucent substrate.
- Convex lenses (7) disposed on the other surface of the translucent substrate,
 each at a condensing position in which light from the lenticular lenses is
 condensed.
- Convex external light-absorbing sections (6) disposed on the other surface of the translucent substrate at positions different from the condensing positions.
- Wherein the external light-absorbing sections are constituted only by slant surfaces (6).

Re. Claim 2, a lenticular lens sheet comprising:

 Wherein the external light-absorbing sections are constituted by two slant surfaces (6).

Re. Claim 3, a lenticular lens sheet comprising:

 Wherein the external light-absorbing sections (6) have a plurality of ridge shapes constituted by two slant surfaces (Fig 3 shows a plurality of the two slant surfaces). Application/Control Number: 10/523,810 Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun (US 6,816,307) in view of Moriguchi et al (US 4,509,823).

Sun's teachings are discussed above and Sun also teaches:

Re. Claim 5, a manufacturing method for a lenticular lens sheet comprising the steps of:

• Manufacturing a lenticular lens substrate that comprises a plurality of lenticular lenses (5) disposed on one surface of the translucent substrate, and convex external light-absorbing (6) sections disposed on the other surface of the translucent substrate at positions different from condensing positions in which light from the lenticular lenses is condensed.

Sun does not teach:

Re. Claim 4, a lenticular lens sheet comprising:

 An external light-absorbing layer provided on the slant surfaces of the external light-absorbing sections.

Art Unit: 2851

Re. Claim 5, a manufacturing method for a lenticular lens sheet comprising the steps of:

 Forming an external light-absorbing layer on the slant surfaces of the external light-absorbing sections.

However, Moriguchi et al teaches:

Re. Claim 4, a lenticular lens sheet comprising:

 An external light-absorbing layer (Fig. 1, 7) provided on the slant surfaces of the external light-absorbing sections (3).

Re. Claim 5, a manufacturing method for a lenticular lens sheet comprising the steps of:

 Forming an external light-absorbing layer (Fig. 1, 7) on the slant surfaces of the external light-absorbing sections (3).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a external light absorbing layer to the external light absorbing section for the purpose of absorbing light in order to increase the contrast of the image.

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun (US 6,816,307) in view of Moriguchi et al (US 4,509,823) further in view of Abe et al (US 6,384,970).

Sun and Moriguchi et al.'s teachings have be discussed above.

Sun and Moriguch et al. do not teach:

Art Unit: 2851

Re. Claim 6, a manufacturing method for a lenticular lens sheet:

• Wherein the light-absorbing layer is formed by roll printing.

However, Abe et al teaches:

Wherein the light-absorbing layer is formed by roll printing. (Column, lines)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a external light absorbing layer by using a printing method so that contrast can be increased.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Do whose telephone number is (571)272-1387. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Friday, 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diane Lee can be reached on (571)272-2399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,810 Page 7

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RCD

DIANE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER